

## REMARKS

Claims 2 and 6-32 have been canceled. Thus, Claims 1 and 3-5 are currently pending in the present application, of which Claim 1 has been amended.

### Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 28-32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to a non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 28-32 have been canceled. Thus, the § 101 rejection is deemed moot.

### Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1, 3-5, 28 and 30-32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Cox et al.* (US 6,738,814) in view of *Goldstone* (US 2002/0101819). Applicants respectfully traverse such rejection insofar as it might apply to the claims as amended herein.

Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's indication that Claim 2 would be allowable if it was rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Because the contents of Claim 2 have been incorporated in Claim 1, the § 103 rejection is believed to be overcome.

### CONCLUSION

Claims 1 and 3-5 are currently pending in the present application. For the reasons stated above, Applicants believe that independent Claim 1 along with its dependent claims are in condition for allowance. The remaining prior art cited by the Examiner but not relied upon has been reviewed and is not believed to show or suggest the claimed invention.

No fee or extension of time is believed to be necessary; however, in the event that any fee or extension of time is required for the prosecution of the present application, please charge it against IBM Deposit Account No. 09-0457.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Antony P. Ng  
*Registration No. 43,427*  
DILLON & YUDELL, LLP  
8911 N. Cap. of Texas Hwy., suite 2110  
Austin, Texas 78759  
(512) 343-6116

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS